

FALSE AND MALICIOUS.

No Grounds for the Charge Against Officer John Byrne.

There were about twenty witnesses, two lawyers, three members of the board of police commissioners, several officers and five newspaper men crowded together in the mayor's office last night when the board was called to order to listen to the charges preferred by Joseph Corr against officer John Byrne. The list of the charges, freed from legal phrase was:

First, that on May 17 the said officer was intoxicated at Scovill's ditch, and had on his uniform in or insignia of office.

Second, that on December 2 the said officer arrested Michael Finn, who was intoxicated and unable to take care of himself, and afterwards released him.

Third, that on May of the officer was seen in the bedroom of two respectable women, Mrs. Welch and her daughter Kate on Park avenue.

Attorney Donahue was Corr's counsel and L. F. Burpee acted for Byrne. Walter Gill was the first witness called. He is a shoemaker living on East Main street, in company with another man on May 17 at 6:40 a. m. He was leaning on the bar and smoking a cigar. The officer treated him to a glass of whiskey. He had told others that he looked as though he "felt merry." He didn't take any particular notice of the officer. To Attorney Burpee, Gill said he did not see the officer drunk. He had no reason to think the officer drunk when he saw a part of a glass of beer on the bar in front of them. Gill swore the officer was in full uniform except his hat. Gill rents his cobbler shop on Corr.

Frank Root, who saw the officer on that morning, but could not say that he was intoxicated. He would rather say the officer was sober than that he was drunk. He thought he was sober. He might have said to someone else that the officer was under the influence of liquor. He saw the officer on that morning. They walked from the saloon to where he (witness) was employed at the Dublin street bridge. The officer was not under the influence of liquor. He had told others that the officer was drunk, but could give no reason for doing so. The officer was not in uniform. He flatly denied the assertion of Gill that he (McLaughlin) staggered when he was walking out East Main street with the officer. The officer talked rationally on several subjects with which they were both conversant.

Louis Callender said that he met the officer on Dublin street. He had no reason to think the officer was intoxicated. He was drinking. The officer was leaning over the railing of the bridge looking at the cars. He saw the officer look at him. He saw the officer look at him. He saw the officer look at him.

A. H. Bowen saw a man that was pointed out to him as Officer Byrne about 9:30 in the forenoon near the old tan shop dam. He could not notice any signs of liquor on him. Corr, who called his attention to the officer, said: "Byrnes is drunk. Did you notice him?"

Patrick Hackett, former proprietor of a saloon on East Main street, said he saw the officer on Scovill's ditch. He seemed to be all right. The officer staggered a little, but he did always when rheumatism bothered him. He had told others that the officer was drunk, but because he was told so by others. Corr was the man who told him the officer was drunk.

Alex McMaster saw the officer on Dublin street bridge. He thought he was all right. He didn't notice anything of him. He heard several say that the officer was drunk.

John Kenny, Corr's former bartender, swore positively that the officer couldn't stand steadily. The officer's shoes and pants were muddy.

Mr. Burpee—"What is your business?" "Slinging beer." "Whose beer do you sling?" "Sometimes Clausen & Price's and sometimes other beer." "You work for Mr. Corr?" "No, sir. I work for Joseph McElroy." "Did you not work for Corr?" "Yes, until Officers Byrne and Noonan broke his license." "The saloon where you work is where Corr used to keep, in Corr's building?" "Yes." "Were you ever arrested on selling liquor?" "No." Mr. Burpee then produced a memorandum and asked a second time if he (Kenny) had not been arrested and fined \$50 for selling liquor, but Kenny said not.

Joseph Corr said he saw the officer that morning and said he was drunk. He would have no hesitation in saying the officer was drunk. The officer staggered and fell several times, and his clothes were covered with mud.

Mr. Burpee—"Did Officer Byrne and Noonan complain of you for selling liquor last December?" "Yes."

Mr. Corr's witnesses against the officer on the second charge, that of releasing a prisoner, were called.

Corr testified that one Michael Finn was drunk on the sidewalk one morning. He saw the officer take hold of Finn. Finn lived in the house in front of which the officer caught hold of him. Wm Kenny came along at the time and said something to the officer. The officer allowed Kenny to bring the man into the house.

Wm Kenny testified that he told the officer that Finn lived in that house and he would take him inside. He asked the officer to let him have him. The officer allowed him to do so.

Rebutting evidence on the first two charges was then introduced.

Mr. Cramm, brother of Contractor Cramm of the Meriden & Waterbury railroad, said he saw the officer on the 17th. He could not say anything was the matter with him. He smelled nothing from him; the officer did not stagger.

Officer Casey saw Officer Byrne coming out of the station house at 6 o'clock that morning, just as he was going off duty; the officer was perfectly sober.

John Lunny is proprietor of the saloon in which it is claimed the officer got his liquor. He swore the officer came into his store and drank a glass of ginger ale; no liquor; he was perfectly sober.

Thomas Lunny saw the officer come in and go out of the saloon. He was perfectly sober.

Wm McVittie was working that morning near the old tan shop dam; he didn't see any signs of liquor on Byrne. Corr came to him a short time before that and told him that Byrne was drunk. The officer came along soon after and he was surprised to see him sober after hearing Corr's story.

Chief Egan said Joe Corr came into the police station that morning and said Officer Byrne was drunk, lying in a ditch and covered with mud. He and Officer Dodd visited Byrne's house immediately. They went to the officer's room and woke him up. They examined the clothes. No mud was on the coat. There was a little around the bottom of the pants. The officer went

on duty the night before at 8 o'clock and went off at 6 o'clock in the morning. Witnesses went out there expecting to find the officer drunk, as he didn't think any man would have the gall to enter the police station and make any such outrageous charges without any foundation; but he found him perfectly sober. The mud on his pants was nothing more than any man would get who had been on duty all of a rainy night.

Officer Dodds corroborated Chief Egan's testimony.

Officer Byrne testified on the second charge that he did not arrest Finn on the day in question but that he got a friend to take him into the house. This ended the testimony in these two cases.

The third charge was withdrawn, Corr giving as his excuse that he could not swear to the date on which it was alleged Byrne was in the Welch house on Park avenue.

"I guess you can't," spoke up Chief Egan, "for Jack Byrne was not on the police force during the time that the Welch lived on Park avenue."

The board then went into executive session and took one ballot on each charge the result being unanimous in favor of the officer. The board then passed the following resolutions: Voted, that this board recognize in Officer John Byrne a faithful and efficient officer and we believe the charges brought against him by Joseph Corr false and malicious.

By Press News Association.

JAMES G. BLAINE.

He is Maine's Choice, First, Last and All the Time.

MINNEAPOLIS, May 25.—Judge Samuel Titcomb, of Augusta, Me., who is in this city, when asked to give his opinion of the Blaine movement said: "The state of Maine is unquestionably for James G. Blaine, first, last and all the time. Joe Hanley is working night and day to secure his nomination, but I cannot vouch as to the truth of the report concerning his methods, because I do not know them."

CONTINUES TO IMPROVE.

The Emperor's Disease Believed to be Under Control.

BERLIN, May 25.—The emperor did not rise until noon to-day, owing to the fatigue from yesterday's exercise. His condition is the same, however, and daily bulletins will be discontinued, and official statements issued at the end of each week. It is believed his disease is now under control.

THREE LABORERS CRUSHED

By a Large Rock at the Portland Quarry.

PORTLAND, May 25.—While a large stone in the Shaler & Co. quarry was being raised this morning, a chain supporting the stone broke and three workmen named Smausian, Lundell and Erickson were fatally injured.

Fire in Stafford Springs.

PALMER, Mass., May 25.—Central block in Stafford Springs was burned and gutted this morning. The losers were F. N. Crane, harness dealer; L. Arnold, jeweler; F. Lettison, baker; Anthony Adams, hardware; Oriental Tea company.

Burial of an Army Nurse.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—The funeral of Mrs. Alice Martin, who was one of the most prominent army nurses during the war, took place this afternoon, and was attended by many soldiers of the late war.

An Insane Woman's Rash Act.

ATLANTON, Ga., May 25.—Mrs. Smith committed suicide this morning at West Mansfield, where she lived, by drowning herself in a pond. She was insane.

Leaped to His Death.

CONCORD, N. H., May 25.—William D. Burnham an insane man from Epping, who was being taken to the asylum here, leaped from the train this morning and was instantly killed.

SIX SCHOONERS OF CIDER.

A Bridgeport Man Makes a Wager and Loses, but Still Lives.

Edward O'Neil, a cigarmaker, made a wager with a fellow-employee, yesterday afternoon, says last evening's Bridgeport Farmer, that he could drink six schooners of cider within an hour, and after the day's work was finished a delegation of cigarmakers adjourned to a saloon on Levery street to see the feat attempted. The valiant Edward drank three schooners in quick succession, but bravely attempted no fourth. The struggle was a severe one, and the lookers-on thought from the painful contortions which he went through that he was about to change into a character similar to Mr. Hyde, but instead he emitted a terrible moan and fell to the floor. His friends became alarmed and carried him outside, where efforts were made to resuscitate him. After considerable work they partially succeeded, but O'Neil was so weak that a friend was obliged to carry him to his home. He, of course, lost the bet, but says he is perfectly satisfied to pay it, and considers himself fortunate that he still lives.

Tobacco Growing in England.

Tobacco has been grown experimentally in England during the last year or two under a license, or something equivalent to it, from the inland revenue authorities. But a legal journal contends that tobacco growing is absolutely illegal by statute. The growth seems to be that in the reign of Charles II two statutes were passed expressly forbidding tobacco culture in Great Britain, under a penalty of \$50, subsequently increased to \$200 per rod planted. These acts were framed solely for the benefit of the American colonies.—Boston Transcript.

Got Angry by Telephone.

A recent decision in Germany is worthy of record for the benefit of American telephone patrons. One unfortunate telephone user lost his temper at being kept waiting by the central office, and when explanations were made he told the telephone office per telephone to shut his gab and not let about it. The court gave the merchant sixty marks fine and twelve days in jail as a warning against a libelous use of a scientific convenience.—Electrical Review.

Spencer takes Children's Pictures before they have a chance to wink.

D. C. Callender & Co. have started a \$35.00 Watch club. We propose to give a full jeweled American Watch in a 14 kt. gold filled case. Warranted for 20 years. An ladies' 14 kt. solid gold American Watch, on payment of \$1.00 per week, one drawing each week. Open to Ladies and Gentlemen, 1 and 3 Exchange Place.

GENERAL SPORTING GOSSIP.

Spalding has refused two offers of \$1,000 for the release of Tabern.

"Mike Kelly and his eight assistants" is the latest for the Boston club.

There is no truth in the report that Capt. Sam Brown intends to retire from the turf. Ike Weir, the "Belfast Spider," will open a sparring school in Boston.

Paddy Ryan will next month shy his castor out of the ring and begin work in the United States mint in San Francisco.

William Faxo Page, the champion rifle shot of Australia, is coming to America to contend against the best rifle shots in this country.

George Godfrey says he is ready to box Sullivan six, eight or ten rounds or to a finish, within four or eight weeks from signing articles.

La Blanche says \$500 is too little for a fish fight with small gloves. And yet many good men are glad to get one-fifth of that amount for such a battle.

Pat Killen and Patsy Cardiff have signed articles to fight fifteen rounds, with two ounces gloves, Marquis of Queensberry rules, at Minneapolis on June 26.

George Smith, the sprinter, will shortly start for Sheffield, England, where he will run in the September handicap. Smith won the great Whitman handicap of 1881.

R. L. Beckman, who holds the middle states lawn tennis championship pennant, will compete against several promising players in the championship tournament that is to be decided at Hoboken on June 6.

Charley McCarthy, the ex-amateur champion leather weight, is training for his fifteen round skin game fight with Tom Burns, of England, to take place in Boston in three weeks. They will fight at 112 pounds and for \$300.

Arthur Chambers will go to San Francisco with Joe Acton. Chambers will try to open a sporting resort at the Golden Gate, while Acton will meet James J. Sullivan at the Olympic Athletic club rooms in a catch-as-catch-can wrestling match.

Who ever heard of the better running the bases the wrong way? Dan O'Leary did it in Port Huron in 1883. He was so excited that he made the circuit by way of third instead of first on a home run. Dan was not when the umpire called him out.—Cleveland Plain Dealer.

Some one asked Comiskey about a certain pitcher, under contract to the St. Louis club. "Oh, he's a good man on the 1st and 15th of every month." The point of Comiskey's remark will be seen when it is understood that the pay days of every team in the association occur on the dates mentioned.

Cummings' time for the late three-quarters of a mile race with George was 3 minutes 12.5 seconds. George, when an amateur, won the distance in 3 minutes 24 seconds. In this country he ran it in 3 minutes 10.1 seconds. The best record by an American is the 3 minutes 18 seconds, made by Lon Myers as an amateur.

Gaffney, the umpire, and Bushong, the catcher, are probably the only men in the business who wear chest protectors under their shirts. They are small affairs, but an effective and great improvement over the cumbersome big wind bag that most catchers use, and weary the spectators by putting them on and off during the game.

CURIOUS THINGS OF LIFE.

Cadillac, Mich., has a barber who never talks. He is deaf and dumb.

A man in St. Louis was fined \$5 for trying to kiss a young lady the other day.

A Philadelphia murderer bears the appropriate name of Keller.

The blood stalk of a century plant at a Florida expedition grows at the rate of six inches a day.

Darius Scott, of Arkansas, is 95 years old, and has his gravestone all lettered, except the date of death.

A man in Connecticut, who built a fancy barn, stole eight tombstones from a graveyard to build his mangers.

The female horse thief is the latest from Ohio, two "pretty and stylishly dressed" ones having been jailed at Akron the other day.

A bubbling well that throws up small rubies, diamond dust and fragments of leadstone among its sand, is the latest wonder reported from Indiana.

Every man on the Kansas City police force, it is said, is a church member. Two of the officers are elders in the church and a number of them are deacons.

John Jones, a near sighted young man living in Bath county, Ky., attempted to cut off the head of a chicken, missed his mark, and took off his left hand instead at the wrist.

A California widow had plans for a \$50,000 monument for her late departed, but when the lawyers got her through fighting over the estate the widow was doing housework at \$3 per week for the man who draughted the monument.

The maddest woman south of the line is that Georgia, she who sat up till 1 o'clock awaiting her husband's return from "the lodge," and upon going upstairs found the supposed, culprit safe in bed, where he had been snoring away her hours of vigil.

A New York business man has a novel method of refreshing his memory. When he has something important to attend to the next day he writes himself a postal card reminding him of the matter, and finding the card among the mail the next morning, attends to it the first thing.

THE ROMANCE OF LIFE.

A clergyman at West Chester, Pa., was so busy trying matrimonial knots one day that he had to send one couple to another minister to be married.

Mrs. L. M. Sherman (white), of Great Falls, N. T., secured a divorce from her husband, Morgan Sherman (colored), the other day, and immediately afterward married her lawyer (white).

An officer of an American war vessel fell in love with a dusky maiden at Honolulu. The girl's father objected and a secret marriage was the result. The officer is still with his ship and the bride is at her home.

A young lady of Bangor, Me., who had been forbidden by a jealous lover to go to a dance with a rival, had the jealous sister arrested and lodged in a cell, while she went to the dance with the other fellow.

The Presidential Veto.

Senator Stewart Strongly Condemns Its Too Free Use.

HE CALLS IT "ONE MAN POWER."

And Makes Several Emphatic Remarks About President Cleveland's Policy.—The Postoffice Appropriation Passed in the House—A Marine Conference—Feminist Appropriation Conference Reports.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—The feature in the senate yesterday was the speech of Senator Stewart on the presidential veto power. He said that in a little over three years President Cleveland had vetoed 173 bills and had refused to sign fifty. The objection that many of them were individual pension bills was not a satisfactory reason for the exercise of arbitrary power against the deliberate judgment of a majority of congress. Washington had vetoed but two bills, Lincoln but one and Jefferson none. The government had changed from Democratic simplicity to a one man power, responsible only to the necessities of the outside world. With one hand he used the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example he would refer to the raid of the attorney general on the Bell Telephone company.

Mr. Stewart then referred to the Washington Post—a most devoted friend of the president—drawing a parallel between Napoleon crowning himself and "Grover Cleveland the First" nomenclature himself at St. Louis. He accused President Cleveland of dictating to his party, with one wave of his hand, the vast resources of the government as a private fund to augment the power of the executive. He desired to show the "almost unlimited discretion" of the executive by the executive to benefit his friends and destroy his enemies. As an example